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Abstract—Principal component analysis (PCA) and subspace estimation (SE) are popular data analysis tools and used in a wide range of applications. The main interest in PCA/SE is for dimensionality reduction and low-rank approximation purposes. The emergence of big data streams have led to several essential issues for performing PCA/SE. Among them are (i) the size of such data streams increases over time, (ii) the underlying models may be time-dependent, and (iii) problem of dealing with the uncertainty and incompleteness in data. A robust variant of PCA/SE for such data streams, namely robust online PCA or robust subspace tracking (RST), has been introduced as a good alternative. The main goal of this paper is to provide a brief survey on recent RST algorithms in signal processing. Particularly, we begin this survey by introducing the basic ideas of the RST problem. Then, different aspects of RST are reviewed with respect to different kinds of non-Gaussian noises and sparse constraints. Our own contributions on this topic are also highlighted.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Principal component analysis (PCA) and subspace estimation (SE) are widely used as a fundamental step for dimensionality reduction and analysis. Their main purpose is to extract low-dimensional subspaces from high-dimensional data while still keeping as much relevant information as possible. Consequently, PCA and SE have found success in a wide range of fields, from finance to neuroscience, with the most successful applications in computer science. The main difference between them is that PCA emphasizes the use of eigenvectors rather than of subspace as in SE. PCA in a standard set-up can be implemented by using either eigenvalue decomposition (EVD) or singular value decomposition (SVD) and is proved to be optimal in terms of the Frobenius-norm approximation error by the Eckart-Young theorem [1].

Recent years have witnessed an increasing interest in adaptive processing [2]. It is mainly due to the fact that online applications generate a huge amount of data streams over time and such streams are often with high veracity and velocity. It is known that veracity requires robust algorithms for handling imperfect data while velocity demands (near) real-time processing. Accordingly, important classes of PCA, such as subspace tracking (ST) also called PCA for streaming data or streaming PCA or dynamic PCA, and ST with missing data have drawn much research attention recently in signal processing and modern data analysis.

The attractive point of ST resides on two aspects. First, in a similar manner to batch subspace methods [3], both the main components and the disturbance components of data observation can be exploited in many different ways. In fact, the subspace is simple to understand (i.e., in a statistical sense) and implement, thus proving its efficiency in many practical applications. Second, different from batch subspace methods, ST has a better trade-off between the accuracy and the computational complexity, thus making it suitable for time-sensitivity and real-time applications. Due to its practical use, we can find a wide range of applications in diverse fields [3–5], for example, direction of arrival (DoA) tracking in radar and sonar, data compression and filtering, blind channel estimation and equalization, and pattern recognition, to name a few.

However, it is well-known that PCA/SE is very sensitive to data corruptions. This fact remains across the above important PCA classes in general and ST in particular. PCA dealing with impulsive noise and outliers is referred to as robust PCA. In 2011, it was revisited in a seminal work of Candes et al [6]. This work has attracted many research studies and applications, with over 4000 citations as of now. PCA for streaming data with impulsive noise and outliers is referred to as robust subspace tracking (RST). It is considered much more difficult than the original ST [7].

ST algorithms have been developed for over three decades [3, 4]. It has been around ten years since Delma’s survey [3] and we thus believe it is not only...
important but the right time to do an up-to-date survey in order to highlight some aspects that were not mentioned in [3] as well as recent advances on this topic.

### 1.1 Related Work

Due to the importance of ST, there have been a number of published surveys in the literature. One of the first and earliest surveys on principal subspace tracking algorithms was carried on by Comon and Golub in [4]. The survey focuses on methods with high and moderate computational complexity for tracking the low-rank approximation of covariance matrices which may be slowly varying with time. In [3], Delmas provided a comprehensive overview on developments of classical ST algorithms with low (linear) complexity.

Recently, different adaptations of PCA for modern datasets and applications were reviewed in [8]. However, PCA for streaming data or ST was not addressed. The problem of tracking the underlying subspace of data from incomplete observations was discussed in [5] and [9]. Particularly, the former concerned methodological classes of ST algorithms that are able to deal with missing data while the latter presented a high-dimensional framework for analyzing their convergence behavior. The survey in [10] carried out reviews on robust PCA, RST, and robust subspace recovery in the presence of sparse outliers. Two similar surveys to [10] have also been conducted in [11] and [13] which respectively review (i) static and dynamic RPCA algorithms, and (ii) the entire body of works on robust sparse recovery. In the literature, there exist two others surveys on two adaptations of PCA which are distributed PCA [12] and sparse PCA [14].

The main contributions of the above-mentioned papers are summarized in Table I.

### 1.2 Main Contributions

To the best of our knowledge, we are not aware of any work that reviews the RST problem in the presence of different kinds of non-Gaussian noise. Although the three surveys [10, 11, 13] reviewed some classes of RST algorithms, they only discussed on sparse outliers. Methods for other non-Gaussian noises (e.g., impulsive noise and colored noise) have not been reviewed yet. Moreover, no survey exists on the problem of sparse ST in the literature. This observation motivates us to carry out a survey on the topic.

The main goal of this survey is to fill the gap in the literature addressing the following three kinds of non-Gaussian noises (including outliers, impulsive noise, and colored noise) and sparse constraints. Our contributions are as follows. First, in the context of missing data and outliers, we review four main approaches for dealing with them. They are Grassmannian, recursive least-squares (RLS), recursive projected compressive sensing (ReProCS), and adaptive projected subgradient method (APSM). Second, when the measurements are corrupted by impulsive noise, we show that most of state-of-the-art RST algorithms are based on improving the well-known PAST algorithm which belongs to the class of RLS methods. Two other appealing approaches including weighted RLS and adaptive Kalman filtering are also reviewed. Third, we outline two main classes of RST algorithms that are able to deal with colored noise: instrumental variable-based and oblique projections. Finally, a short review on sparse ST algorithms is presented.
The structure of our review is as follows. Section 2 states the problem of RST. In Section 3, we provide the state-of-the-art algorithms for the RST problem in the presence of missing data and outliers. The next two sections, 4 and 5, present RST algorithms that are able to handle impulsive noise and colored noise, respectively. Section 6 provides a short review on sparse ST. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper.

2 Robust Subspace Tracking: Problem Formulation

At each time $t$, we suppose to observe a signal $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$x_t = P_t\ell_t + P_tv_t,$$

where $P_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an observation mask matrix indicating the $i$-th entry of $x_t$ is observed (i.e., $P_t(i,i) = 1$) or not (i.e., $P_t(i,i) = 0$), $v_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the (non-Gaussian) noise vector and $\ell_t$ is the true signal living in a fixed or slowly time-varying low-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$. More concretely, $\ell_t = U_tw_t$ in which $w_t$ is a weight vector and $U_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ is a basis matrix with $d(U_t, U_{t-1}) \leq \sin(\theta(U_t, U_{t-1})) \ll 1$ where $\theta(U_t, U_{t-1})$ denotes the largest principal angle between $U_t$ and $U_{t-1}$.

The RST problem can be stated as follows: Given a streaming set of observed signals $\{x_t\}_{t \geq 1}$, in (1), we wish to estimate a rank-$r$ matrix $U_t$ such that it can cover the span of the complete-data noiseless signal $\ell_t$.

In this paper, we consider the RST problem in the presence of different kinds of the non-Gaussian noise $v_t$: sparse outliers, impulse noise, and colored noise. Also, we review sparse ST algorithms under the constraint that the basis matrix $U_t$ is sparse.

3 Robust Subspace Tracking in the Presence of Missing Data & Outliers

In the literature, there have been several studies on ST in the presence of outliers and missing data. The proposed RST algorithms can be categorized into four main classes: (i) Grassmannian, (ii) recursive least-squares (RLS), (iii) recursive projected compressive sensing (ReProCS), and (iv) adaptive projected subgradient method (APSM). We summarize all the RST algorithms robust to outliers and missing data in Table II.

3.1 Grassmannian Algorithms

Many of RST algorithms are based on the Grassmannian approach in which the ST procedure can be cast into an optimization process on a Grassmann manifold. More concretely, Grassman manifold is a space that parameterizes all $r$-dimensional linear subspaces of the $N$-dimensional vector space. The underlying subspace can be derived from averaging the column span of the (fully or partially) observed signals on the Grassmann. Interestingly, each observed signal $\ell_t$ spans a one-dimensional subspace which can be described as a point in the Grassmannian. Therefore, the Grassmannian approach offers several advantages such as a lower number of parameters to optimize and limited memory usage and the resulting RST algorithms are often efficient and scalable to high dimensional data [37].

State-of-the-art RST algorithms include GRAS T A [15], GOSUS [16], pROST [17, 18], and RoIGA [33, 34]. In [15], He et al. proposed an efficient RST algorithm called Grassmannian robust adaptive ST (GRASTA) which is a robust version of GROUSE in [38]. GRASTA first uses an $\ell_1$-norm cost function to reduce the effect of sparse outliers and then performs the incremental gradient on the Grassmann manifold of the subspace $U$. In [16], Xu et al. introduced an effective algorithm namely GOSUS for tracking subspace with structured-sparsity. GOSUS also incorporates an adaptive step-size for the incremental gradient on the manifold. The effectiveness of GOSUS was demonstrated via the real application of video background subtraction and multiple face tracking. In [17, 18], Hage et al. proposed a method, namely pPOST that combines the advantages of Grassmannian optimization with a non-convex sparsity measure. Instead of using the $\ell_1$-norm regularization, pPOST uses the penalty with non-convex $\ell_0$-surrogates allows reconstruction even in the case when $\ell_1$-based methods fail. Another algorithm dubbed robust intrinsic Grassmann average (RoIGA) was proposed by Rudrasis et al. in [33, 34]. RoIGA is a geometric approach to computing principal linear subspaces in finite and infinite dimensional reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. Among them, RoIGA is shown as one of the fastest RST algorithms for handling missing data corrupted by outliers.

3.2 Recursive Least-Squares based Algorithms

Another line of the RST research is based on recursive least-squares (RLS) methods where the underlying subspace is recursively updated by minimizing a (weighted) least-squares objective function containing squared residuals and a penalty accounting for outliers. An efficient RLS-based algorithm is parallel estimation and tracking by recursive least squares (PETRELS) [39] which can be considered as an extension of the projection approximation ST (PAST) algorithm [40] in order to handle missing data.

Inspired by PETRELS, several robust variants have been proposed to deal with outliers the same line such as [20, 27, 35, 36]. Robust online subspace estimation and tracking (ROSETA) in [20] applies an adaptive step size at the stage of subspace estimation to enhance the convergence rate. Meanwhile the main idea of PETRELS-CFAR algorithm [27] is to handle “outliers-removed” data (i.e., outliers are first removed before performing ST) using a Constant False Alarm Rate (CFAR) detector. Adopting the approach of PETRELS-CFAR, but aiming to improve RST performance, we proposed an efficient algorithm called PETRELS-ADMM which is able to remove outliers more effectively in [35, 36]. It includes two main stages: outlier rejection and subspace estimation and tracking.
Outliers living in the measurement data are detected and removed by an ADMM solver in an effective way. An improved PETRELS was then introduced to update the underlying subspace. In practice, the convergence rate of RST-type algorithms is often faster than that of Grassmannian-based algorithms in slowly time-varying environments.

3.3 Recursive Projected Compressive Sensing based Algorithms

Recursive projected compressive sensing (ReProCS)-based algorithms [23, 28, 29, 32] are also capable of tracking subspace in the presence of outliers and missing data.

ReProCS-type algorithms use the piecewise constant subspace change model described previously and start with a “good” estimate of the initial subspace. At each time, they first solve a projected compressive sensing problem to derive the sparse outliers, e.g., using $\ell_1$ minimization followed by thresholding-based support estimation. After that, the subspace direction change is then estimated by using projection-SVD [28].

ReProCS provides not only a memory-efficient and highly robust solution, but also a precise subspace estimation compared to the state-of-the-arts. However, ReProCS-type algorithms often require strong assumptions on subspace changes, outlier magnitudes, and accurate initialization.
3.4 Adaptive Projected Subgradient Method based Algorithms

Adaptive projected subgradient method (APSM) can provide a robust solution to the presence of missing data and outliers [21, 22]. Main advantages of APSM are that convex constraints can be readily incorporated and it can be used as an alternative to constructing the cost function from the sum of square errors like RLS methods. The key idea of APSM stems from that unknown parameters of regression models can be estimated from seeking a point in the intersection of all the sets defined by measurements. In the context of ST, based on the latest observed signals, a cost function is properly chosen at each time instant which scores a zero loss. The next task is to reach the intersection point. To deal with sparse outliers, APSM-type algorithms detect the time instances at which the observed signals are corrupted by outliers via using sparsity-aware greedy techniques (e.g. compressed sampling orthogonal matching pursuit as used in [22]) and then reject them.

3.5 Other Algorithms

Some other RST algorithms are able to track the underlying subspace over time from measurements corrupted by sparse outliers such as MRMD [19], OTNNR [24], L1-PCA [26], L1-IRW [30], OLP-RPCA [25], and OSTP [31]. Most of them use a $\ell_p$-regularization ($0 \leq p \leq 1$) to discard the effect of outliers. However, they are not designed for missing data.

4 Robust Subspace Tracking in the Presence of Impulsive Noise

By “impulsive”, we mean it can be burst noise [45, 46], spherically invariant random variable (SIRV) noise [47, 48], or alpha-stable noise [49, 50]. We note that even though these algorithms were described to reduce the effect of impulsive noise in general, most simulation results were shown for burst noise only. RST algorithms that are robust to impulsive noise are summarized in Table III.

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Burst</th>
<th>SIRV</th>
<th>$\alpha$-stable noise</th>
<th>Initialization</th>
<th>Convergence Guarantee</th>
<th>Computational Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPAST (2006 [41])</td>
<td>PAST + M-estimation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$O(nr + r^2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC-PAST (2014 [42])</td>
<td>Maximum correntropy criterion (MCC) + PAST</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>$O(nr + r^2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNC-PAST (2014 [43])</td>
<td>Bounded nonlinear covariance (BNC) + PAST</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>$O(nr + r^2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>robust KFVM (2020 [44])</td>
<td>Adaptive Kalman filter + M-estimation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>$O(nr + r^2)$ + $O(\ell r^2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBUSTA (2018 [27])</td>
<td>Weighted RLS + Mahalanobis distance</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$O(nr + r^2)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\ell$: length of the sliding window
–: unknown or undetermined

### 4.1 Robust Variants of PAST

To take into account impulsive noise, some methods proposed in the literature have mainly been based on robust statistics so far. Among them, some studies have proposed robust variants of PAST to deal with impulsive noise. In [41], a robust PAST (RPAST) was proposed. The algorithm first detects the occurrence of the impulsive noise based on a threshold, and then eliminates undesirable effects by discarding contaminated observations. The threshold is determined based on an empirical function of noise variance with the assumption that error vectors follow a Gaussian distribution corrupted by additive impulsive noise.

Zhang et al. introduced another PAST’s variant called MCC-PAST via the maximum correntropy criterion (MCC) in [42, 51, 52]. MCC-PAST exploits a correntropy as a new statistic, which can quantify both the time structures and statistics of two random processes, to deal with impulsive noise. Accordingly, the maximum correntropy criterion (MCC) is applied as a substitute for the mean square error criterion in the objective function of PAST. Based on the RLS technique, the MCC-PAST algorithm was then developed. To extend the tracking capability of the MCC-PAS, a variable forgetting factor (FF) technique was also employed in the recursion process. In parallel, Shengyang et al. developed another robust variant of PAST, namely BNC-PAST, to track the underlying subspace via a different criterion [43]. The authors defined a new concept namely bounded non-linear covariance (BNC) to handle relative problems (including ST) in the presence of non-Gaussian noise with a heavy-tailed distribution. In particular, bounded nonlinear maps were employed to discard the effect of impulsive noise. Accordingly, a new robust PAST algorithm based on BNC was derived.

### 4.2 Adaptive Kalman Filtering

Another good approach capable of handling impulsive noise is based on adaptive Kalman filtering. In [44], Liao et al. proposed a RST algorithm based on an adaptive Kalman filter with variable number of measurements (KFVM). The main idea of using the
KFVM is to deal with the tracking of fast-varying subspace [58]. More concretely, when the underlying subspace varies quickly, a small number of past observations are exploited in the recursion and vice versa. To handle the impulsive noise, the M-estimate technique is incorporated into the KFVMN algorithm. The complexity of the proposed KFVM-based algorithm is much higher than the PAST-based algorithms especially when the number of observations used for subspace update is large.

4.3 Weighted Recursive Least-Squares Method

Recently, based on robust statistics but different from the common two-step scheme mentioned above, we proposed in [27] an RST algorithm with linear computational complexity based on a weighted RLS approach, namely ROBUSTA. On the theoretical aspect, we provided a convergence analysis of ROBUSTA in the presence of SIRV noise. Interestingly, we showed that it also corresponded to adaptive robust covariance estimation. ROBUSTA outperformed many state-of-the-art algorithms for burst noise, SIRV noise, and alpha-stable noise. Also, it can be easily adapted, in conjunction with pre-processing steps, to handle alpha-stable noise.

5 Robust Subspace Tracking in the Presence of Colored Noise

In the literature, RST algorithms that are robust to colored noise can be categorized into two groups: (i) instrumental variable and (ii) oblique projection. We summarize these algorithms in Table IV.

5.1 Instrumental Variable based Algorithms

For colored noise, one of the main directions is to use the instrumental variable (IV) which allows avoiding biased estimate. An appealing benefit of this approach is easy to adapt derivation from classical ST algorithms. While having improved performance, the computational complexity of IV-based algorithms is often higher than the original ones due to the selection of the IV vector size. Specifically, in [53], two direct extensions of the PAST algorithms, named IV-PAST and extended IV-PAST, were proposed. It is shown that their performance is enhanced, comparing to the original ones. With the aim to improve further performance in subspace-based system identification applications, several algorithms in conjunction with using IV were addressed in [54]. The key idea is to adapt the propagator approach by exploiting the relationship between array signal processing and subspace identification.

Very recently, Chan et al. in [55] proposed a new robust variant of PAST capable of handing linear models with complex coefficients, multiple outputs, and colored noises. In the proposed method, the authors used a new adaptive forgetting factor and imposed an $\ell_2$-norm regularization into the objective function of PAST. In particular, the adaptive forgetting factor was obtained at each time instant by minimizing the mean-square deviation of the estimator from an extended IV linear model and IV-PAST. The additional $\ell_2$-norm regularized term on the weight vectors is aimed to reduce the error variance and prevent the ill-conditioned computation at low SNR levels. Generally, if low computational complexity is concerned, IV-based methods require a IV vector uncorrelated with the noise which is not always met in practice.

5.2 Oblique Projection based Algorithms

Another direction, which can avoid the above drawback, is based on oblique projection onto the subspace manifold, such as [56, 57]. It is due to the fact that the noise vector may lie in a low dimension subspace instead of being treated as full rank in the observation space. Naturally, oblique projections arise in the solution to recover the signal. Accordingly, Chen et al. proposed a variant of PAST named oblique PAST (obPAST) to track the signal subspace in [56]. In the same line, based on the well-known YAST algorithm [65], Florian et al. introduced the new obYAST algorithm in [57].
Both obPAST and obYAST minimized a new exponential least-squares cost function where the orthogonal projection in the residual error term is replaced with an oblique one. Experiment results indicate that this modification can facilitate the tracking ability of PAST and YAST in the presence of colored noise. Table IV reports further information about these RST algorithms, e.g., convergence and complexity.

### 6 Sparse Subspace Tracking

Recently, sparse subspace estimation and tracking have been attracted more attention from the signal processing community due to the fact that many modern datasets admit sparse representation has huge potential capabilities for analyzing them [66]. Although several algorithms have been introduced for sparse subspace estimation in the batch setting (see [67–69] for examples), there exist only a few studies on sparse ST algorithms so far.

In [59], Chuang and Yue proposed an adaptive algorithm called OIST (which stands for Oja’s algorithm with Iterative Soft Thresholding) for online sparse PCA. The authors investigated a rank-one spiked model in a high-dimension regime and indicated that the estimate of the eigenvector from the sample covariance matrix is inconsistent. To alleviate it, they introduced an extended version of Oja’s algorithm followed by a soft-thresholding step to promote sparsity on the estimate. The asymptotic convergence, steady state, and phase transition of OIST were also derived to understand its behavior in a high-dimension regime when the dimension is much larger than the number of observations. However, OIST is designed for only rank-one subspaces, i.e., lines. In parallel, a novel online sparse PCA algorithm able to deal with rank-k spiked models ($k \geq 1$) was proposed via row truncation technique in [60]. More concretely, a simple $\ell_2$-norm based row truncation operator was introduced to zero out rows whose leverage score is below a predefined threshold. At each time instant, the QR decomposition of the resulting truncated covariance matrix was realized to update the principal subspace. The authors also proved that the proposed algorithm is consistent in the high-dimension regime.

In [61], Xiaopeng et al. introduced a new robust variant of PAST called $\ell_1$-PAST. Specifically, the authors modified the cost function of PAST by adding a $\ell_1$-norm constraint imposed on the subspace matrix to control its sparsity. Accordingly, a new RLS algorithm like PAST was derived to minimize the proposed objective function in an efficient way. The $\ell_1$-PAST is robust and stable even when the number of observations is small.

In [62], Giampouras et al. developed a novel robust sparse ST method namely OVBSL in the lens of Bayesian inference. To deal with the sparsity constraint on the subspace matrix, OVBSL utilized the group-sparsity inducing the convex $\ell_2/\ell_1$-norm. Since it belongs to the family of Bayesian methods, no fine-tuning parameter is required and the proposed algorithm is fully automated.

In this topic, we also proposed several two-stage approach based algorithms for sparse ST in [63, 64, 70]. The main steps of the two-stage approach is as follows. We first utilize a well-known ST algorithm from the literature (e.g., PAST or FAPI) to extract an orthonormal basis of the underlying subspace. Then, we estimate a sparse weight matrix based on some criteria on sparsity such that it can span the same subspace. For example, in [63], two new algorithms SS-OPAST and DS-OPAST were designed for sparse system matrix and sparse source signals respectively. We particularly exploited the natural gradient to find the sparest matrix from the estimated orthonormal matrix by OPAST. In [64, 70], we used FAPI in the first stage and then derived SS-FAPI, orthogonal SS-FAPI, and GSS-FAPI algorithms. Specifically, the sparsity criterion considered there is consistent in the high-dimension regime. In Table V, we show a comparison among these sparse ST algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Prior Information</th>
<th>Initialization</th>
<th>Convergence Guarantee</th>
<th>Computational Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OIST (2016 [59])</td>
<td>Oja method + soft-thresholding</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$O(nr)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streaming SPCA (2015 [60])</td>
<td>Row truncation + QR decomposition</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>batch</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$O(nr \min(r, s \log n))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell_1$-PAST (2016 [61])</td>
<td>PAST method + $\ell_1$-norm sample matrix inverse</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>$3nr^2 + 3nr + O(r^2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVBSL (2017 [62])</td>
<td>Bayesian inference + $\ell_2/\ell_1$-norm promotion</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>$O(nr^2 + nr)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS/DS-OPAST (2017 [63])</td>
<td>2-step approach + OPAST + $\ell_1$-norm approximation</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>$3nr^2 + 3nr + O(r^2)/3nr + O(nr^2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS/GSS-FAPI (2020 [64])</td>
<td>2-step approach + FAPI + Givens rotations</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>random</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$2nr^2 + 4nr + O(r^2)/4nr + 4ns + O(r^2)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 Conclusions

ST has shown an increased interest in signal processing with the aim of analyzing real-time big data problems and its improvement is in parallel to recent advances in optimization. In this paper, we provided a brief survey on adaptive algorithms for RST which were mostly developed over the last decade. We highlighted three classes of RST algorithms for dealing with non-Gaussian noises including sparse outliers, impulsive noise, and colored noise. The last decade has also witnessed the widespread of high-dimensional data analysis in which sparse representation-based methods are successfully applied to many signal processing applications. Accordingly, sparse ST algorithms are also reviewed in our survey.
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